

CF 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATISGARH AT BILASUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3115 OF 2005

PETITIONER:

1) ANITIA SHARMA
D/O SANTOSH KUMAR SHARMA,
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS
R/O SIMGA (VILL. & PO)
RAIPUR DIST.
C.G. STATE.

VERSUS

RESPONDENT:

1) STATE OF C.G.
TRU SECRETARY EDUCATION DEPT.
DKS BHAWAN,
RAIPUR
C.G. STATE.

2) PRESIDENT,
NAGAR PANCHAYAT,
SIMGA, (Vill. & PO)
RAIPUR DIST.
C.G. STATE.

3) PRESIDENT
SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE,
SIMGA, (VILL. & PO)
RAIPUR DIST
C.G. STATE.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT (S) / ORDER (S) /
DIRECTION (S), ETC.

3212/05
LST/2005
dated 11/7/05

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. 3115

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक वथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>27.7.2005</u> Shri K.R. Nair, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. <u>I.A.No.6131/2005</u> This is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board. The application stands disposed of. <u>W.P.No. 3115/2005</u> Heard Shri K.R. Nair, counsel for the petitioner and Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. The case of the petitioner is that she was a candidate for the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-II and Grade-III in Nagar Panchayat, Shimga the recruitment of which took place in the month of May 2005. She possessed the requisite qualification and experience but she was not called for interview. Shri Nair submits that she has given a representation to the C.E.O. on 3.6.2005 requesting for a call letter, but no reply was given to her on the said representation. It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the other candidates who were lesser qualified and having no experience in teaching, were called for interview and were selected for appointment as Shiksha Karmi under M.P.(C.G.) Municipality Shiksha Karmi (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) rules, 1998. After perusal of these rules, it appears that there is a provision of appeal vide Rule 12 of the aforesaid rules. Hence, the	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3115 सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक
तथा आदेश क्रमांक

हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार
के अन्तिम आदेश

- 2 -

petitioner has an alternative remedy to raise her grievance before the appellate authority.

In this view of the matter, when there is an alternative and efficacious remedy available to the petitioner, I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition at this stage.

The petition stands dismissed. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner files an appeal and any adverse order is passed and the petitioner is dissatisfied with the order, she may be at liberty to come to this Court for appropriate relief. With the aforesaid liberty, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Sunita